

Managing through incentives

The economics of dynamic employment relationships

Erik Madsen

New York University

October 24, 2016

What is incentive contracting?

2016 Nobel Prize in Economics



2016 Nobel Prize in Economics

“Society’s many contractual relationships include those between shareholders and top executive management... or a public authority and its suppliers.

2016 Nobel Prize in Economics

“Society’s many contractual relationships include those between shareholders and top executive management... or a public authority and its suppliers.

As such relationships typically entail conflicts of interest, contracts must be properly designed to ensure that the parties take mutually beneficial decisions.

2016 Nobel Prize in Economics

“Society’s many contractual relationships include those between shareholders and top executive management... or a public authority and its suppliers.

As such relationships typically entail conflicts of interest, contracts must be properly designed to ensure that the parties take mutually beneficial decisions.

This year’s laureates have developed *contract theory*, a comprehensive framework for analysing many diverse issues in contractual design, like performance-based pay for top executives[.]”

Types of employment incentive problems

1. Moral hazard

2. Adverse selection

Types of employment incentive problems

1. Moral hazard

- ▶ “Hidden actions” - employee’s use of time can’t be directly monitored
- ▶ Employees might prefer to shirk, steal, or divide time inefficiently between tasks

2. Adverse selection

Types of employment incentive problems

1. Moral hazard

- ▶ “Hidden actions” - employee’s use of time can’t be directly monitored
- ▶ Employees might prefer to shirk, steal, or divide time inefficiently between tasks

2. Adverse selection

- ▶ “Asymmetric information” - employee knows something their employer doesn’t
- ▶ Low-quality workers may pass themselves off as high-quality to get hired
- ▶ Employees with conflicts of interest may misrepresent the viability of a project

Tools for incentive contracting

- ▶ Performance pay
- ▶ Promotion and firing
- ▶ Menus of contracts
- ▶ Procedural rules
- ▶ Costly monitoring

Managing temporary projects

A hypothetical case study

- ▶ Consultancy MBB is engaged by firm PG to make proposals for cutting costs and adopting industry best practices
- ▶ Both MBB and PG are uncertain about the scope for improvements
- ▶ MBB's team knows when the project has become uneconomical... but is paid by the hour

A hypothetical case study

- ▶ Consultancy MBB is engaged by firm PG to make proposals for cutting costs and adopting industry best practices
- ▶ Both MBB and PG are uncertain about the scope for improvements
- ▶ MBB's team knows when the project has become uneconomical... but is paid by the hour

Question

Can incentive contracting be used to encourage information sharing and increase project returns?

A toy model

- ▶ PG and MBB have IRR 5%/year
- ▶ MBB charges \$40k per month, with a profit margin of 50%
- ▶ Each major improvement is worth \$1 *m* to PG
- ▶ Major improvements are found on average every six months until project lapses
- ▶ Average time until project lapses is 1.5 years

At-will employment

How much profit can PG make without an incentive contract?

At-will employment

How much profit can PG make without an incentive contract?

- ▶ MBB earns more the longer the project runs → no info-sharing
- ▶ PG ends the project after a sufficiently long dry spell
- ▶ Real option valuation techniques can be used to find the best end date

At-will employment

How much profit can PG make without an incentive contract?

- ▶ MBB earns more the longer the project runs → no info-sharing
- ▶ PG ends the project after a sufficiently long dry spell
- ▶ Real option valuation techniques can be used to find the best end date

Result

PG should operate the project until 17 months have passed with no improvements. The project's NPV for PG is \$1.5m.

Incentive contracting tools

1. Completion bonuses
2. Deadlines
3. Merit extensions

A contract with info-sharing

Proposed contract

PG promises MBB a completion bonus of \$5.9*m* if the project is ever terminated.

A contract with info-sharing

Proposed contract

PG promises MBB a completion bonus of \$5.9*m* if the project is ever terminated.

- ▶ NPV of MBB's earnings is \$5.9*m* no matter how long the project lasts → info sharing
- ▶ PG waits for MBB to report that the project has lapsed

A contract with info-sharing

Proposed contract

PG promises MBB a completion bonus of \$5.9m if the project is ever terminated.

- ▶ NPV of MBB's earnings is \$5.9m no matter how long the project lasts → info sharing
- ▶ PG waits for MBB to report that the project has lapsed

Result

The project's NPV for PG with this incentive contract is $-\$2.2m$.

A better contract with info-sharing

Proposed contract

PG commits to a project horizon of 33 months. Further, PG promises that if the project is ended N months before the horizon, MBB will earn a completion bonus equal to the NPV of average billings minus expenses for N months.

A better contract with info-sharing

Proposed contract

PG commits to a project horizon of 33 months. Further, PG promises that if the project is ended N months before the horizon, MBB will earn a completion bonus equal to the NPV of average billings minus expenses for N months.

- ▶ NPV of MBB's earnings is independent of project length
→ info sharing
- ▶ PG ends the project as soon as MBB reports a lapse, but in no case later than 33 months

A better contract with info-sharing

Proposed contract

PG commits to a project horizon of 33 months. Further, PG promises that if the project is ended N months before the horizon, MBB will earn a completion bonus equal to the NPV of average billings minus expenses for N months.

- ▶ NPV of MBB's earnings is independent of project length
→ info sharing
- ▶ PG ends the project as soon as MBB reports a lapse, but in no case later than 33 months

Result

The project's NPV for PG with this incentive contract is \$1.5m.

An optimal incentive contract

Proposed contract

PG commits to a project horizon of 20 months, *reset every time MBB delivers a major improvement*. Further, PG promises that if the project is ended N months before the horizon, MBB will earn a completion bonus equal to the NPV of average billings minus expenses for N months.

An optimal incentive contract

Proposed contract

PG commits to a project horizon of 20 months, *reset every time MBB delivers a major improvement*. Further, PG promises that if the project is ended N months before the horizon, MBB will earn a completion bonus equal to the NPV of average billings minus expenses for N months.

- ▶ If the project lapses N months before the horizon, NPV of MBB's remaining earnings is independent of how long it waits to report the lapse → info sharing

An optimal incentive contract

Proposed contract

PG commits to a project horizon of 20 months, *reset every time MBB delivers a major improvement*. Further, PG promises that if the project is ended N months before the horizon, MBB will earn a completion bonus equal to the NPV of average billings minus expenses for N months.

- ▶ If the project lapses N months before the horizon, NPV of MBB's remaining earnings is independent of how long it waits to report the lapse → info sharing

Result

The project's NPV for PG with this incentive contract is \$1.8m. This is PG's most profitable incentive contract.

Results of incentive contracting

At-will employment	\$1.5m
Bonuses	-240%
Bonuses + deadlines	-2%
Bonuses + deadlines + extensions	+15%

Contracting with ambiguous news

What if improvements are still found at a low rate after the project lapses?

Contracting with ambiguous news

What if improvements are still found at a low rate after the project lapses?

- ▶ Now merit extensions are a double-edged sword
 - ▶ Pros - reduce premature project termination
 - ▶ Cons - increase payments to MBB

Contracting with ambiguous news

What if improvements are still found at a low rate after the project lapses?

- ▶ Now merit extensions are a double-edged sword
 - ▶ Pros - reduce premature project termination
 - ▶ Cons - increase payments to MBB
- ▶ Good incentive contracting strikes a balance between these forces

Contracting with ambiguous news

What if improvements are still found at a low rate after the project lapses?

- ▶ Now merit extensions are a double-edged sword
 - ▶ Pros - reduce premature project termination
 - ▶ Cons - increase payments to MBB
- ▶ Good incentive contracting strikes a balance between these forces

Result

An optimal incentive contract with info sharing always increases PG's profits over at-will employment.

Lessons for managers

How can you improve the outcome of temporary projects?

1. Commit to project horizons rather than open-ended engagements
2. “Pay for failure”
3. Be responsive to observable milestones (but not *too* responsive)

When should you consider incentive contracting?

1. Incentives are misaligned and direct oversight is hard
2. Employees believe you will honor contractual terms
3. You understand what motivates your employees
4. Employees understand how the contract works