

COMMENTS ON DISENTANGLING THE  
CHANNELS OF THE 2007-2009 RECESSION  
DSGE MODEL-BASED FORECASTING

Lars Peter Hansen

University of Chicago

September 17, 2012

# DSGE MODEL-BASED FORECASTING

- ▶ Showcases a variety of interesting calculations
- ▶ Compares the predictive performance of the DSGE model to other competing models
- ▶ Assesses performance through the financial crisis

# SHOCK ACCOUNTING

- ▶ Two important shocks prior to financial crisis
  1. Equity premium
  2. Marginal efficiency of investment
- ▶ Wedges or financial shocks to financing constraints
  1. Reinterpret shocks as exogenous shifts in the impact of financing constraints and introduce a net worth state variable
  2. Example: Christiano-Motto-Rostagno - mean-preserving spread in the cross-sectional dispersion of “ability across entrepreneurs”.  
Is this what we want to think of as an important trigger for the financial crisis?

# QUESTIONS

- ▶ Microeconomic motivation without using microeconomic evidence?
- ▶ What are the “shocks” connected to financing frictions and why are they uncorrelated with other shocks?
- ▶ Stochastic discount factor dynamics?
  1. Which shock exposures command the biggest prices?
  2. How do these change over investment horizons?
- ▶ How do frictions operate?
  1. Difference between marginal and average investors - stochastic discount factor determined by marginal investors
  2. Wedge between “prices of internal financing and external financing” - multiple stochastic discount factors in play
  3. Economy-wide constraints bind sometimes but not always - source of nonlinearity

# MODELING PHYSICAL AND FINANCIAL RETURNS

- ▶ Two physical returns not one because second-order adjustment costs make investment act as a second capital stock
- ▶ How new capital is financed (debt or equity) matters when we connect the return predictions from the model to data.

# DISENTANGING THE CHANNELS OF THE 2007-2009 RECESSION

## ► Approach

1. Apply linear dimensionality reduction methods to collapse large cross sections of macro and financial time series into a smaller number of macroeconomic factors
2. Employ “structural” VAR methods to identify interpretable shocks

## ► Two findings

1. Larger shocks with essentially the same transmission mechanism
2. Important shocks were “financial disruptions” and “heightened uncertainty”

# LARGER SHOCKS WITH ESSENTIALLY THE SAME TRANSMISSION MECHANISM

- ▶ Perhaps difficult to reject the null hypothesis - weak evidence?
- ▶ Alternative hypothesis is that of a simple break point - more interesting alternatives?

# UNCERTAINTY SHOCKS

Identified by regressing the reduced-form innovations onto measured indicators - VIX, Bloom and Baker, Bloom and Davis

- ▶ The uncertainty shocks should be related explicitly to the direct macroeconomic evidence for “larger versions of shocks”
- ▶ The economic mechanisms by which increases in uncertainty influence behavior are hard to connect with linear time series models



# FINANCIAL DISRUPTIONS

Identified by regressing the reduced-innovations onto measured indicators of the type discussed by Gilchrist

- ▶ Reinforce Gilchrist's findings and the same issues about shocks and mechanisms apply here.